UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,889	09/17/2003	Xin Xue	SONY-26800	9090
28960 7590 11/07/2007 HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER	
162 N WOLF	ROAD		TO, BAOTRAN N	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,889	XUE, XIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Baotran N. To	2135	

20.0.0 tio :g 0. ti	Examiner	Artonit	ł			
	Baotran N. To	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		er morrier er mor	ILLO WITTING			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	I within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS	hara and a sa s					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first that would require further than the first than the fir			ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	, то торров в , таке в , т					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			·			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		in y to boton or ando.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Dote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
	•					

Application No.

Continuation of 3: Claims 1-43 are not entered because the applicants propose to amend independent Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 36 with limitation "determine the predetermined level of content access" The amended limitation changes the scope of the independent Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 36. Therefore, it is needed for reconsideration and search.

L\kim vu

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI-